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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to get empirical evidence about the use of Crossword Puzzle in improving students’ vocabulary mastery. The method used in this study was quantitative method. This study was an experimental study with pre and posttest group design which involved 45 seventh grade students at SMPN 2 Pringgasela. The findings of this study showed that there was a significant improvement of students’ vocabulary mastery after being taught by using Crossword Puzzle in which t-test score at the significant degree of 95% was higher than t-table. Thus, it can be stated that the use of Crossword Puzzle was effective in improving the students’ vocabulary mastery.
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INTRODUCTION

Vocabulary is very important in learning foreign language especially English. The more vocabulary we have the more easily we understand the meaning of the language in spoken or written language. Furthermore, we can easily utter what is in our mind to people we speak with. On the contrary, if we have less vocabulary, we will have difficulty in understanding the meaning of the language and to express our opinions to others. As stated by McCarthy (1990:8) “No matter how well the students learn grammar, no matter successfully the sound of the L2 are mastered, without words to express a wide range of meanings, communication in an L2 just cannot happen in any meaningful way”. This means that vocabulary is a very important thing in learning L2 or foreign language because it has a very important role in language.

As a matter of fact, it was assumed that teaching English in this case vocabulary, especially in 7th grade students at junior high school needs to be considered. Based on the preliminary research conducted at SMPN 2 Pringgasela on 24th October 2018 especially at the 7th grade students, the problems in teaching-learning English is the lack of vocabulary mastery.

There are some ways that can be used to solve the problems such as wordwall, flashcard, songs, and there are other vocabulary game that has been use by some researchers. Considering of what is being faced in this school, the researcher decided to use Crossword puzzle to overcome the problems. Thus, this study is to know whether crossword puzzle is effective to improve students’ vocabulary mastery at the 7th grade students of SMPN 2 Pringgasela.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Definition of Vocabulary

Vocabulary is the collection of words that construct a language. Brown (2001:377) stated that words are basic building block of language. It means words are the first thing that must be a language has. Without words, the other aspect of language will not exist.
Definition of vocabulary mastery

Mastering a word means mastering the aspects of word knowledge (Utami 2014: 17). Thornbury (2002: 16) summarize that word knowledge include, the meanings, the spoken form, the written form, the grammatical behavior, the word derivation, the collocations of the words, the register of the word - spoken and written, the connotation or associations of the word, and word frequency.

Definition of crossword puzzle

Dhan (2008: 55) defines a crossword puzzle as a puzzle with sets of squares to be filled in with words/numbers, one letter/ number to each square. A crossword puzzle usually rectangular, divided into blank (white) and cancelled (black, shaded, or crosshatched) squares. This diagram is accompanied by two lists of number definitions or clues, one for horizontal and the other for vertical words, the numbers corresponding to identical numbers on the diagram.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopted quantitative method with the experimental design. The population of this study is all students of grade VII in SMPN 2 Pringgasela in academic year 2018/2019 which consist of 70 students comprising three classes: VII-A with 25 students, VII-B with 22 students and VII-C with 23 students. Two classes were chosen as the sample, VII-B as control group and VII-C as the experimental group.

The data were collected through pre-test and post-test. The pre-test and post-test consist of 25 questions of multiple choices. The collected data from the pre- and posttest were analyzed and processed by using calculation of t-test formula with the help of SPSS.

In addition, Alternative Hypothesis ($H_a$) and the Null Hypothesis ($H_0$) were proposed. If the $t_{test}$ ($t_o$) > $t_{table}$ ($t_t$) in significant degree of 0.05, the alternative hypothesis ($H_a$) is accepted and the null hypothesis ($H_0$) is rejected and if the $t_{test}$ ($t_o$) < $t_{table}$ ($t_t$) in significant degree of 0.05, the alternative hypothesis ($H_a$) is rejected and the null hypothesis ($H_0$) is accepted. Meanwhile, the degree of freedom (df) = (N1+N2)-2 = (23+22)-2= 43. It must be consulted with t-table of df. If df is 43, the value of the significance level 5% is 1.681.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Pre-Test Score

The experimental class highest pre-test score is 84 while the lowest pre-test score is 20. On the other hand, the control class highest pre-test score is 88 and the lowest score is 16. Moreover, the experimental class’ average/mean score is 48.70, the mode is 56 and the median is 52.00. On the other hand, the control class’ average/mean score is 55.45, the mode is 56 and the median is 56.

Post-Test Score

The experimental class highest post-test score is 92 while the lowest post-test score is 56. On the other hand, the control class highest score is 88 and the lowest post-test score is 56. Moreover, the average/mean score of the experimental class is 79.65, the mode is 80.00 and the median is 80.00. On the other hand the average/mean score control class is 74.00, the mode is 80.00 and the median is 76.00.
**Gain Score**

That highest gain score from the experimental class is 68 and the lowest gain score is 4. While the highest gain score from the control class is 60 and the lowest gain score is 0. This calculation result indicates that most of the students from both experimental class and control class performed better in the post-test than the pre-test. But, some students from the control group performed worst in their post-test than their pre-test.

**Normality Test**

According to the table 1, the “df” of the experimental class is 23 and the control class is 22, it means the sample of each class is less than 50. Therefore the appropriate technique that will be used is Shapiro-Wilk. Furthermore, it can be seen that the “sig” score from experimental class is 0.315, while the “sig” score for the control class is 0.990. It means the “sig” score of experimental class and control class is bigger than 0.05, hence, as the basis for decision making in the Shapiro-Wilk normality test above. It can be concluded that the learning outcomes data for both classes are normally distributed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table1. Normality Test of Pre-Test Score Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pretest_score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Lilliefors Significance Correction
<sup>+</sup> This is a lower bound of the true significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Normality Test of Post-Test Score Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tests of Normality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>posttest score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Lilliefors Significance Correction

According to the table 2 above, it can be seen that the “sig” score from experimental class is 0.072, while the “sig” score for the control class is 0.096. It means the “sig” score of experimental class and control class is bigger than 0.05, hence, as the basis for decision making in the Shapiro-Wilk normality test above. It can be concluded that the learning outcomes data for both classes are normally distributed.

**Homogeneity Test**

From the result of homogeneity test in table 3, it can be seen that the degree of significance is 0.944 which is bigger than 0.05. Therefore, it can be said that
both groups in the pre-test are homogenous. Further, from the result of homogeneity test in table 4, it can be seen that the degree of significance is 0.944 which is bigger than 0.05. Therefore, it can be said that both groups in the pre-test are homogenous.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. The Homogeneity of the Pre-test Pre-test score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levene Statistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. The Homogeneity of Post-test Posttest score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levene Statistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.451</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Analysis of the Data

The Analysis of the Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levene’s Test for Equality of Variances</th>
<th>t-test for Equality of Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gain</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal variances assumed</td>
<td>.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal variances not assumed</td>
<td>2.644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the result of the statistical calculation above, it can be seen that the value \( t_0 \) or \( t_{test} \) is 2.645 and the degree of freedom is 43. The value of significant 5% or \( t_{table} \) of df 43 with \( \alpha = 0.05 \) is 1.681.

**Hypothesis Testing**

According to the statistical calculation above, the value of \( t_0 \) is 2.645 and the degree of freedom is 43 with 5% degree of significance used by the researcher. Based on the significance, it can be seen that on df=43 in significant 5% the value of \( t_{table} \) is 1.681 by comparing the result of \( t_{table} \) and \( t_0 \), the degree of significance of 5%, \( t_0 < t_{table} = 2.645 < 1.681 \). According to the result it can be concluded that the Null Hypothesis (Ho) is rejected and Alternative hypothesis (Ha) is accepted.

**DISCUSSION**

The research finding above shows that in the post-test, the students from experimental class performed better than students from control class. This interpretation is based on the comparison of experimental class and control class students’ average score, mode and median. Afterward, the experimental class students’ gain score illustrates that the average gain score for experimental class is higher than the gain score from control class.

From the result of statistical calculation, it was obtained the t-observation \( t_0 \) is 2.645; meanwhile the
The data analysis result showed that the \( t \)-test in the significance degree (\( \alpha \)) of 5% is \( t_o > t_{table} \) (2.645 < 1.681). Therefore the Null Hypothesis (Ho) is rejected and the Alternative Hypothesis (Ha) is accepted. In addition, it can be seen from the comparison between the gained score average of experimental class and the gain score average of the control class on the table 4.3, the gain score average in experimental class is 30.96, it is higher than the average gain score for the control group that is 18.55. It means crossword puzzle is effective in improving students’ vocabulary mastery. Therefore, it can be conclude that the answer of the research question was proven that there is effectiveness of crossword puzzle on students’ vocabulary mastery at seventh grade of SMP N 2 Pringgasela in academic year 2018/2019.

**Suggestion**

Based on the findings of this study, it is suggested that the English teachers should be creative in developing the teaching and learning strategies and activities in classroom to make the class alive and enjoyable so the students don’t get bored, one of those strategies is the use of crossword puzzles. There are some advantages of using crossword puzzle, such as students are more active in coordinating hand, eye, and speed of thinking simultaneously. However, this study is not without weaknesses in which the crossword puzzle can cause a little difficulty for the students who have a low of level ability and participation in subjects. Therefore, it is suggested that the next researchers develop the study in using this strategy or other strategies in
teaching vocabulary to make the students interested in learning and understand the material more easily.
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